Sent: Monday, October 05, 2015 11:38 AM To: Leidy, Robert Cc: Julia Fonseca; Ian Murray Subject: RE: Emailing: ju-rosemont additional hydrology and species information Hello Rob-Please see the attached memorandum from lan Murray. Please let us know if you would like any additional information. Brian Powell **Program Coordinator** Pima County Office of Sustainability and Conservation 201 N. Stone Ave, 6th Floor, RM 629 Tucson, AZ 85701 P: 520.724.6555 F: 520.724.9491 E: brian.powell@pima.gov > ----Original Message-----> From: Leidy, Robert [mailto:Leidy.Robert@epa.gov] > Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2015 12:26 PM > To: Brian Powell > Cc: Julia Fonseca > Subject: RE: Emailing: ju-rosemont additional hydrology and species > information > Thanks Brian, > This is helpful. I am also curious if you have any information on the > relationship between declining baseflow and thermal sensitivity for > either the Cienega Basin or other streams in AZ. We have water > temperature data for Marsh Station and I am wondering how this data > might or might not be useful in the context of PIMA's > hydrology/species report and findings, especially in terms of the potential of mine-related changes in water quality. > > Best. > Rob > > > Robert A. Leidy, Ph.D. > Ecologist/Enforcement Officer

Goldmann, Elizabeth [Goldmann, Elizabeth @epa.gov]

FW: Emailing: ju-rosemont additional hydrology and species information

To:

From:

Sent:

Leidy, Robert

----Original Message----

Mon 10/5/2015 7:13:25 PM

From: Brian Powell [mailto:Brian.Powell@pima.gov]

stream base flow and water temperature memo 5 October.doc

```
> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
> Wetlands Office (WTR-2-4)
> 75 Hawthorne Street
> San Francisco, CA 94105
> (415) 972-3463
>
> ----Original Message-----
> From: Brian Powell [mailto:Brian.Powell@pima.gov]
> Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2015 12:12 PM
> To: Leidy, Robert
> Cc: Julia Fonseca
> Subject: RE: Emailing: ju-rosemont additional hydrology and species
> information
> Hello Rob-
> Julia asked me to respond to your inquiry.
> I am assuming that you are referring to the small pool that is on the
> north side of Cienega Creek (against the rock outcrop), just a few
> meters above the confluence with Davidson. The reason it was not
> included was because of its smaller size (relative to other pools) and
> because the other pools have historically been more directly connected to perennial stretches of the
> Certainly the site at the Davidson confluence could be an important
> periodic site for chub in some years and it probably should have been
> considered in our analysis.
>
> Brian Powell
> Program Coordinator
> Pima County Office of Sustainability and Conservation
> 201 N. Stone Ave, 6th Floor, RM 629
> Tucson, AZ 85701
> P: 520.724.6555
> F: 520.724.9491
> E: brian.powell@pima.gov
> >
>> -----Original Message-----
> > From: Leidy, Robert [mailto:Leidy.Robert@epa.gov]
>> Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2015 11:22 AM
> > To: Julia Fonseca
>> Subject: RE: Emailing: ju-rosemont additional hydrology and species
> > information
> >
> > Julia,
>>
>> Question: Figure 11 of the report depicts the locations of Gila chub
>> as upstream from the confluence of Davidson Canyon with Cienega
>> Creek. I've seen Gila chub in the large pool in Cienega Creek just
>> upstream from the confluence of davidson canyon on two different
>> occasions (years). Is there a reason this pool is omitted? Is it
>> because the pool is not "permanent" in all years?
> >
```

```
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Rob
> >
>>
> >
> > Robert A. Leidy, Ph.D.
> > Ecologist/Enforcement Officer
>> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Wetlands Office (WTR-2-4)
> > 75 Hawthorne Street
>> San Francisco, CA 94105
> > (415) 972-3463
> >
> >
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: Julia Fonseca [mailto:Julia.Fonseca@pima.gov]
> > Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2015 9:13 AM
> > To: Leidy, Robert
> > Subject: Emailing: ju-rosemont additional hydrology and species information
> >
>> Hi, Rob,
> >
>> Certainly. Here is the report as transmitted to Forest Service for NEPA/ESA
>> consultation and as transmitted to ADEQ for the certification process. I am
> > also enclosing additional correspondence with ADEQ regarding the
>> certification, in which we took issue with some of Rosemont's
> interpretations
>> of source waters for Davidson base flows. This includes a statement by Dr.
>> Chris Eastoe, who is knowledgeable about isotopes and recharge in this area.
>> There is additional ongoing work on isotopes being conducted by others at
> > UA.
> >
>>
> > Regards,
> >
>>
> >
> > Julia Fonseca
> > Environmental Planning Manager
> > Pima County Office of Sustainability and Conservation
> > 201 N. Stone, 6th floor
> > Tucson, AZ 85701
> > (520) 724-6460
> > Julia.Fonseca@pima.gov
> >
> > Sonoran Desert Conservation Plan
> > Lower Santa Cruz Living River Project
> >
> >
> >
> >
>>
> >
>> Your message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:
```

- > >
- > > ju-rosemont additional hydrology and species information
- >>
- >> Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent
- > > sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail > > security settings to determine how attachments are handled.